

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/677,000	10/01/2003	Brian James DeHamer	200208016-1	7248	
22879	7590 12/17/2007	NATA C	EXAMINER		
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY					
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	INS, CO 80527-2400				

DATE MAILED: 12/17/2007

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/677,000	DEHAMER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Ted T. Vo	2191 _	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on <u>9/19/07</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. **EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.**

1. 📙	heading or in the proper order.
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4. 🛚	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))
6. 🛚	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7.	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41:37(c)(1)(viii)).
8. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto $(37 \text{ CFR} 41.37(c)(1)(x))$.
10.	Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):
	See Continuation Sheet.

Continuation of 10. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

Check-box 4: The summary of claim subject matter does not provide clearly and concisely the explanations for the claimed subject matters, especially for:

- "and responds to the request by sending information to the user in a predetermined format",

as cited: See, e.g., id. at paragraphs 11, 15, 19-21, 43, 48, 49 and

62; see also FIGS. 1, 2 and 4.

- "and responds to the request by sending information to the

user in a predetermined format", as cited. See, e.g., id. at paragraphs 11, 15, 19-21, 43, 48, 49 and 62; see also FIGS. 1, 2 and 4.

- "based on configuration information and renders the c-flame as part of

the information sent to the user in response to the request." As cited, See, e.g., id. at paragraphs

19, 35, 36, 41, 44 and 50; see also FIGS. 1 and 3.

- corresponds to a plurality of portals, and wherein the layout manager is adapted to produce a different c-frame in response to requests received via each of the plurality of portals." See, e.g., id. at paragraphs 62 and 63.

The specification tends to bundle the paragraphs together without specifically explaining the claimed subject matters of the claims.

It does similarly to claims 8 and 15.

The Brief fails to identify at least claim subject matter: "Tangible machine readable medium" for Claims 22-24 that is an appeal issue for both 112 first and 101.

Check-box 6: Argument's section for Claims rejection under 102(b) fails to be arranged in accordance to the requirements under 37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v). (See MPEP).

TED VO PRIMARY EXAMINER